

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 12-2-2013 | 11-CV-0096 | (N.D. Ohio) | <p>Vassalle, et al. v. Midland Funding, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Midland Credit Management, Inc., Midland Funding LLC, and Encore Capitol Group, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants" or "Midland"), violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), as well as state common law and consumer statutes by filing lawsuits against Plaintiffs between 1-1-2005 and 3-11-2011, with affidavits that allegedly contained false information.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons (a) sued in the name of Encore Capital Group, Inc., Midland Funding LLC, Midland Credit Management, Inc., or any other Encore and/or Midland-related entity (collectively, "Midland"), (b) between 1-1-2005 and 3-11-2011, (c) in any debt-collection lawsuit in any court (d) where an affidavit attesting to facts about the underlying debt was used by Midland in connection with the debt-collection lawsuit.</p> | 5-15-2014 | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Dennis E. Murray, Sr. Murray & Murray 111 East Shoreline Drive Sandusky, OH 44870</p> |
| 12-5-2013 | 13-CV-467 | (E.D. Va.) | <p>Shami, et al. v. Middle East Broadcasting Networks, Inc. ("MEBN") Plaintiffs allege that MEBN violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., because it failed to provide a lawful disclosure and authorization as required by the FCRA.</p> <p>Class Members are all who applied for a job at MEBN, and were not provided with a disclosure advising that MEBN was going to obtain a credit</p> | 4-24-2014 | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Leonard A. Bennett Susan M. Rotkis Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 762 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. Suite 1A Newport News, VA 23601</p> <p>Kristi C. Kelly Surovell Isaacs Petersen & Levy, PLC</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | report to make an employment decision or failed to provide a lawful authorization form to the job candidates. | | 4010 University Drive Second Floor Fairfax, VA 22030 |
| 12-6-2013 | 12-CV-05265 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>In re: OCZ Technology Group, Inc. Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants violated Sections 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, promulgated thereunder by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Complaint also alleges that financial statements were filed and other statements were issued by and on behalf of OCZ that had materially false and misleading information about the Company's financial position. When accurate financial information became public, the Complaint alleges that OCZ's share price fell and shareholders were damaged. The Complaint also asserted "control persons" claims against the individual defendants, Petersen and Knapp, under Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The lawsuit seeks money damages against the Defendants for alleged violations of the federal securities laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired OCZ Technology Group, Inc. common stock and/or options during the period between 7-6-2011 and 1-22-2013, inclusive.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Nicholas I. Porritt Levi & Korsinsky LLP 1101 30th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 2007</p> |
| 12-6-2013 | 13-CV-10935 | (D. Mass.) | <p>Jacqueline Brenner v. Kohl's Corporation, Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., and Kohl's Illinois, Inc., et al. (Collectively Kohl's)</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Kohl's requested and</p> | 3-11-2014 | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>D. Greg Blankinship</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>recorded customers' ZIP codes as part of transactions involving the purchase of merchandise with a credit card. The lawsuit further alleges that this practice took place at Kohl's stores in Massachusetts and violated Massachusetts laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who from 4-16-2009 through [Preliminary Approval Date] (i) purchased merchandise from a Kohl's store in the State of Massachusetts, (ii) used a credit card to make the purchase(s), and (iii) whose personal identification information was requested and recorded by Kohl's at the point of sale.</p> | | <p>Todd S. Garber Meiselman, Packman, Nealon, Scialabba & Baker P.C. 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, NY 10605</p> |
| 12-9-2013 | 12-CV-09996 | (C.D. Cal.) | <p>Rebekah Geare, et al. v. lululemon usa inc. The Lawsuit currently alleges that Plaintiffs and other lululemon Educators, Key Leaders, Assistant Managers and Store Managers in California were not properly paid minimum wage and overtime, were denied appropriate meal and rest periods, were not reimbursed for necessary business expenses, were not timely paid wages upon termination, were not provided accurate wage statements, were not paid all wages twice each month, and were not provided with suitable seating.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former employees of lululemon who were employed in the State of California, who held any of the following positions: Educators, Key Leaders, Assistant Store Managers, or Store Managers</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Pawel R. Sasik The Law Offices of Pawel R. Sasik 5350 Topanga Canyon Blvd. Woodland Hills, CA 91364</p> <p>310 571-5206</p> <p>888 390-4816</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | between 4-8-2010 and [Date of Preliminary Approval]. | | |
| 12-12-2013 | 12-CV-02125 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>Christopher O'Sullivan, et al. v. AMN Services, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that AMN violated California's wage and hour laws by taking illegal wage deductions from them and other non-exempt healthcare professionals in the State of California, failing to pay them minimum and overtime wages, failing to provide them with meal and rest periods, failing to provide them with accurate written wage statements, and failing to timely pay earned wages during and after employment.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons employed by AMN as non-exempt healthcare professionals in the State of California during the period from 1-30-2008 to [date of preliminary approval].</p> | 2-7-2014 | <p>For more information write, call, fax or email:</p> <p>Alan Harris Harris & Ruble 6424 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90038</p> <p>323 962-3277 323 962-3004</p> |
| 12-12-2013 | 13-CV-74 | (D. Wyo.) | <p>Sandra K. Lange Trust, et al. v. WPX Energy Rocky Mountain, LLC, a/k/a Williams Production RMT Company Plaintiffs allege that WPX bundled together different costs it charged and did not itemize those costs when WPX reported those costs on payment stubs required by the Wyoming Royalty Payment Act. The lawsuit also claimed for all or a group of the royalty owners to be paid in this settlement: (1) WPX deducted expenses it should not have deducted and (2) WPX made late Royalty Payments.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>J.N. Murdock Timothy Pearse Murdock Law Firm, P.C. 123 West First Street Suite 675 Casper, WY 82601</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | | | Class Members are all who received certain oil and gas royalties in Wyoming by WPX Energy Rocky Mountain LLC and are entitled to a payment from a class action settlement. | | |
| 12-12-2013 | 11-CV-03532 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>Delacruz v. CytoSport, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that CytoSport inaccurately labeled and marketed certain Muscle Milk® Ready-to-Drink ("RTD") beverages and Muscle Milk® bars by: using the words "Healthy Sustained Energy," "Healthy Fats," and "Good Carbohydrates," on the label of certain RTDs; using the words "Healthy Sustained Energy" and "0g trans Fat" on the label of the Bars; including fractionated palm kernel oil and partially hydrogenated palm oil as ingredients in the Bars; and using similar words and phrases relating to claims concerning healthiness or nutrition in the advertising and marketing of the RTDs and Bars on CytoSport's website and other marketing and advertising.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased Muscle Milk® Ready-to-Drink beverages or Muscle Milk® bars from retailers in the United States from 7-18-2007 through 12-31-2012.</p> | 5-15-2014 | <p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Baron & Budd, P.C. RolandTellis Mark Pifko 15910 Ventura Blvd. Suite 1600 Encino, CA 91436</p> <p>818 839-2333</p> <p>818 986-9698</p> <p>mpifko@baronandbud.com</p> |
| 12-13-2013 | 10-CV-00514 | (E.D. Va.) | <p>Donna K. Soutter v. Trans Union, LLC Plaintiff alleges that TransUnion, a consumer reporting agency, continued to report Plaintiff's Virginia civil court judgment as unpaid in Plaintiff's credit reports after it was set aside and dismissed.</p> | 2-12-2014 | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Leonard A. Bennett Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd.</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>Class Members are all persons who on or after 7-26-2008 but on or before [date of Preliminary Approval] had a hard inquiry on their TransUnion file resulting in the delivery of a TransUnion consumer report that reflected an unsatisfied Virginia General District Court or Virginia Circuit Court civil judgment, if at least 31 days prior to the delivery of the consumer report, and on the date of delivery of the consumer report, such judgment had been satisfied, vacated, or dismissed.</p> | | <p>Suite 1-A Newport News, VA 23601</p> |
| 12-13-2013 | 11-CV-07533 | (S.D.N.Y.) | <p>George, et al. v. China Automotive Systems, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made false and/or misleading statements in violation of Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and specifically that Defendants' reported net income was inflated by more than \$39 million.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased or otherwise acquired China Automotive Systems, Inc. ("CAAS") common stock, or that purchased and/or sold options on CAAS's common stock, from 5-12-2009 to 3-17-2011, inclusive.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Jeremy A. Lieberman Tamar A. Weinrib Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross LLP 600 Third Avenue New York, NY 10016</p> <p>212 661-1100 212 661-8665</p> |
| 12-13-2013 | 13-CV-00131 | (N.D. Ill.) | <p>In re: UltraMist Sunscreen Litigation Plaintiff filed the Litigation after Energizer Personal Care, LLC ("EPC") conducted a voluntary market withdrawal of certain UltraMist Products</p> | 5-16-2014 | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Joseph J. Siprut Siprut PC</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | <p>due to a potential risk of the product igniting on the skin if contact is made with a source of ignition, in contravention of the warnings on the product, before the product is completely dry. The Plaintiff in this case alleges that EPC failed to adequately compensate consumers for the lost value of those products.</p> <p>Class Members are all United States or United States Territories residents who purchased one or more of certain UltraMist Products between 1-2010 and 10-2012.</p> | | <p>17 North State Street Suite 1600 Chicago, ILL 60602</p> |
| 12-13-2014 | 08-CV-2516 | (S.D.N.Y.) | <p>In re: Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege herein a conspiracy, among Defendants and unnamed co-conspirators, to fix, maintain or stabilize the prices of, and to rig bids and allocate customers and markets for, Municipal Derivatives sold in the United States and its territories.</p> <p>Class Members are all local municipal government entities, independent government agencies and private entities that purchased, by competitive bidding or auction, Municipal Derivatives directly from a Provider Defendant or Bank of America, in the United States and its territories or for delivery in the United States and its territories.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>William C. Carmody Arun Subramanian 645 Madison Avenue 5th Floor New York, N.Y. 10065</p> <p>212 336-8330</p> <p>212 336-8340</p> |
| 12-16-2013 | 12-CV-03102 | (N.D. Ill.) | <p>Wong v. Accretive Health, Inc., et al. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants made false</p> | Not set yet | For more information write to: |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>and misleading statements to investors concerning Accretive Health, Inc's financial position, which Plaintiff alleges artificially inflated Accretive Health's stock price and when true financial statements were eventually disclosed, resulted in substantial damages to the Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons without geographic limitation: who purchased or otherwise acquired Accretive Health common stock during the period from 11-10-2010, through and including 4-27-2012, or their successor in interest, and who were damaged by Defendants' alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and/or 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.</p> | | <p>Kirkland & Ellis LLP Andrew B. Clubok Adam T. Humann 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022</p> |
| 12-20-2013 | 02-MD-1486 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>In re: Dynamic Random Access Memory ("DRAM") Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>The lawsuits claim that Defendants fixed the prices of DRAM from 1-1-1998 through 12-31-2002, which resulted in overcharges to people and businesses that bought DRAM or devices containing DRAM.</p> <p>Class Members are: 1) persons or businesses that purchased DRAM or a device containing DRAM; 2) where the purchase was made anytime from 1-1-1998 through 12-31-2002; 3) the purchase was made in the United States or from a seller located in the United States; and 4) the purchase was not made directly from any of the DRAM manufacturers.</p> | 6-25-2014 | <p>For more information visit: www.DRAMclaims.com</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 12-20-2013 | 11-CV-3041 12-CV-3038 | (S.D. Cal.) | <p>Nicole Newman, et al. v. AmeriCredit Financial Services Inc. (consolidated with) Shirley Mack v. General Motors Financial Company, Inc. f/k/a AmeriCredit Corp. Received a letter dated 12-20-2013 informing us that the above cases are now consolidated.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that AmeriCredit violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), by calling persons using cell phone numbers with either an automated dialing system or by an artificial or prerecorded voice message, or both, without that person's prior express consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were (a) called on a cellular telephone by Defendant or a third party dialing company on behalf of Defendant, using an automated dialer or by prerecorded voice message between 12-30-2007 through the date of Preliminary Approval or (b) current and former customers of Defendant whose name or account was associated with a cellular telephone number in Defendant's records between 12-30-2007 through the date of Preliminary Approval.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Abbas Kazerounian Kazerouni Law Group, APC 245 Fischer Avenue Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626</p> <p>800 400-6808</p> <p>800 520-5523</p> |
| 12-20-2013 | 06-CV-00988 06-CV-00987 06-CV-00149 | (E.D.N.Y.) | <p>Audette et al. v. Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., et al. ("Hebei") Philion et al. v. Hebei Keane et al. v. Hebei</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Vitamin C manufacturers ("the Defendants") conspired to fix and raise prices of ascorbic acid Vitamin C. The</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information visit:</p> <p>www.vitaminCantitrust.com</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>Defendants are Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Company, Ltd. ("Hebei"), Weisheng Pharmaceutical Company Ltd. and CSPC Pharmaceutical Group, Ltd., formerly known as China Pharmaceutical Group, Limited, (collectively, "Weisheng"), Aland (Jiangsu) Nutraceutical Co., Ltd. ("Aland"), and Northeast Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd. ("NEPG"). A Settlement has been reached with Weisheng on behalf of purchasers of tablets and capsules containing vitamin C.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who: 1) currently live in and purchased capsules or tablets containing vitamin C in Arizona, California, District of Columbia, Florida, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, West Virginia, or Wisconsin, 2) between 12-1-2001 and 6-30-2006, and 3) the purchase was for use or consumption and not for resale.</p> | | |
| 12-20-2013 | 12-CV-09984 | (N.D. Ill.) | <p>Nicholas Cummings, et al. v. Sallie Mae, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Sallie Mae violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) by placing calls, on or after 9-15-2010, to certain persons' cellular phones using an autodialer or prerecorded messages without first obtaining the consent required.</p> <p>Class Members are 251,000 persons whom Sallie Mae has identified in available records for purposes of the Settlement as possibly having been called.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Lisa M. Simonetti Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 2029 Century Park East Suite 1600 Los Angeles, CA 90067</p> <p>310 556-5819 310 556-5959</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 12-20-2013 | 12-CV-1718 | (S.D. Cal.) | <p>Richard M. Horn and Maria Gurevich v. Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") Plaintiffs allege that Bank of America failed to properly generate Form 1098s for tax years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 where plaintiffs made payments of Deferred Interest on their option ARMs.</p> <p>Class Members are in two Settlement Classes:</p> <p>Settlement Class for Tax Year 2009: all persons who made Payments of Deferred Interest on their Option ARMs in Tax Year 2009 and for whom BANA was required to file a 2009 Form 1098.</p> <p>Settlement Class for Tax Years 2010, 2011, and 2012: all persons who made Payments of Deferred Interest on their option ARMs in Tax Years 2010, 2011, 2012, or 2013 and for whom BANA was required to file a Form 1098 for the same Tax Year in which the payments of deferred interest were made.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>David J. Vendler Morris, Polich & Purdy LLP 1055 West Seventh Street Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90017</p> |
| 12-20-2013 | 12-CV-2034 | (N.D. Tex.) | <p>SuperMedia Inc., et al. v. Noe, et al. SuperMedia filed this action for declaratory judgment against Retirement Plan participants Sandra Noe, Carl Ohnstad, Claire Palmer and numerous other retirees ("Defendants"), individually and as representatives of retirees who are similarly situated, so that the Court may declare that the amendments are valid and that SuperMedia has the right to amend or terminate certain benefits of the retirement</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Curtis L. Kennedy 8405 E. Princeton Avenue Denver, Colo. 80237 303 770-0440</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>plans.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received an amended Notice, were a participant in one of SuperMedia's health and welfare retiree benefit plans, and whose benefits were affected by one or more of the amendments.</p> | | <p>CurtisLKennedy@aol.com</p> |
| 12-23-2013 | 12-CV-10920 | (D. Mass.) | <p>Rottner v. AVG Technologies CZ, s.r.o and Auslogics Software Pty. Ltd.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants AVG Technologies CZ, s.r.o. and Auslogics Software Pty. Ltd. (collectively, "Defendants") working together and separately, operate a fraudulent scheme designed to trick consumers into purchasing computer utility software known as AVG PC TuneUp ("PC TuneUp"). Through the use of misleading advertising, packaging materials and deceptive "diagnostic" scans, Defendants exploit legitimate consumer concerns about computer performance and privacy for the sole purpose of selling Defendants' software products. More specifically, Defendants represent that PC TuneUp identifies and repairs a wide range of system errors, privacy and security treats, will boost Internet and computer speeds, eliminate freezing and crashing, speed up hard drives, and otherwise "restore [a] PC to peak performance." In reality, PC TuneUP does not function as Defendants represent—the software is actually designed to detect innocuous items as "server" errors that are purportedly harming the PC's performance.</p> | 4-30-2014 | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Rafey S. Balabanian Benjamin H. Richman Edelson LLC 350 North LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | Class Members includes all individuals and entities in the United States and its territories who during the period from 9-27-2010 to 9-6-2012, inclusive, bought licenses to use the PC TuneUp software from AVG. | | |
| 12-23-2013 | 08-CV-2705 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>Ellen Stody-Broser v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties and engaged in unfair business practices in investing personal trust assets in their own affiliated mutual funds.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who are grantors, trustors, beneficiaries, and/or successor trustees of Class Trusts. Class Trusts means revocable or irrevocable personal and charitable trusts (a) for which Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") currently serves as trustee and also served as trustee on or before 12-31-2008, (b) for which BANA had investment discretion over principal and/or income or recommendation responsibility on or before 12-31-2008, and (c) which had trust assets invested in 2013 BANA Affiliated Mutual Funds as of 5-31-2013 and/or 6-30-2013 and which received a Trustee Fee Credit associated therewith, or which used or were designated to use the 2013 BANA Affiliated Mutual Funds as a sweep account as of 5-31-2013 and/or 6-30-2013.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy, LLP Eric J. Buescher 840 Malcolm road Burlingame, CA 94010</p> <p>650 697-6000</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 12-23-2014 | 10-CV-642 10-CV-732 | (M.D. La.) | <p>Corbin v. Amedisys, Inc. et al. Galimba v. Amedisys, Inc. et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants were fiduciaries of the Plan and that they breached fiduciary duties owed to the Plan's participants by continuing to permit the Plans' investment in Amedisys stock and failing to take appropriate action when such investments allegedly became imprudent and decreasing the value of retirement accounts entrusted to their oversight.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Amedisys, Inc. 401(k) Plan and who held Amedisys common stock in their Plan accounts at any time from 1-1-2008 through 12-13-2013.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information visit or call: www.berdonclaims.com 1 800 766-3330</p> |
| 12-23-2013 | 11-CV-0973 | (S.D. Cal.) | <p>Rosales v. FitFlop USA, LLC</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that FitFlop USA, LLC ("Defendant") engaged in deceptive and unfair conduct in violation of California laws by advertising that its men's, women's and children's FitFlop™-branded footwear products provide a variety of benefits ordinary footwear could not provide.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased Eligible FitFlop Footwear in the United States from 1-1-2007, up to and including the date of the first dissemination of the Class Notice.</p> | 4-28-2014 | <p>For more information visit: www.bholaw.com and www.whafh.com</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 12-23-2013 | 13-CV-01106 | (S.D.N.Y.) | <p>Strubel v. Talbots Classics National Bank and The Talbots, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Talbots Classics National Bank and The Talbots, Inc. (collectively, the "Defendants") violated the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1601, et seq., by failing to make certain disclosures required by TILA and its implementing regulation, Regulation Z, on account-opening materials given to holders of Talbots branded credit card accounts issued by Talbots Classics National Bank.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who both opened a Talbots branded credit card account and first used said account during the period from 6-18-2012 through and including 2-25-2013.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to or e-mail:</p> <p>Brian L. Bromberg Bromberg Law Office, P.C. 40 Exchange Place Suite 2010 New York, NY 10005</p> <p>or</p> <p>brian@bromberglawoffice.com</p> |
| 12-24-2013 | 09-ML-2007 | (C.D. Cal.) | <p>In re: Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products Antitrust Litigation Plaintiff alleges that TYC Brother Industrial Co., LTD. and Genera Corporation ("TYC") participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, or stabilize the price of aftermarket automotive lighting products at artificially high levels and to restrict output of aftermarket automotive lighting products in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1.</p> <p>Class Members are natural persons and entities that purchased aftermarket automotive lighting products from any of the Defendants, in the United States and its territories and</p> | Not set yet | No information |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | possessions between 7-29-2001 and 2-10-2009. | | |
| 12-24-2013 | 12-CV-06135 | (N.D. Cal.) | <p>Alice Ogues v. CC Staffing, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Travel Staff, LLC ("Travel Staff") (formerly known as CC Staffing, Inc.) violated California's wage and hour laws by, among other things, allegedly failing to provide meal periods, failing to provide rest breaks, failing to pay minimum and overtime wages, failing to timely pay wages during employment, unlawful deductions from wages, failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failing to pay earned wages during and after employment, and by competing unfairly.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons employed by Travel Staff or its affiliates as traveling or local temporary healthcare providers in the State of California at any time between 12-4-2008 to [date of preliminary approval].</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Shaun Setareh Law Office of Shaun Setareh 9454 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 711 Beverly Hills, CA 90212</p> <p>310 888-7771 310 888-0109</p> |
| 12-24-2013 | 06-CV-00242 09-CV-06151 | (E.D. Pa.) | <p>James L. McDonough, et al., v. Toys "R" Us, Inc., Babies "R" Us, Inc., Toys "R" Us-Delaware, Inc., Baby Bjorn, AB Britax Child Safety, Inc., Kids Line, LLC, Maclaren USA, Inc., Medela, Inc., Peg Perego USA, Inc. and Regal Lager, Inc.</p> <p>Ariel Elliott, et al., v. Toys "R" Us, Inc., Babies "R" Us, Inc., Toys "R" Us-Delaware, Inc., Baby Bjorn, AB Britax Child Safety, Inc., Kids Line, LLC, Maclaren USA, Inc., Medela, Inc., Peg Perego USA, Inc.</p> <p>Two groups of consumers sued Toys "R" Us, Inc.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information visit, call or write:</p> <p>www.babyproductsantitrustsettlement.com</p> <p>1 888 292-8492</p> <p>Baby Products Antitrust Litigation c/o The Garden City Group, Inc. P.O. Box 9679 Dublin, Ohio 43017</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>doing business as Babies "R" Us; Babies "R" Us, Inc.; Toys "R" Us-Delaware, Inc. (collectively referred to as "Babies "R" Us"); BabyBjörn AB ("BabyBjörn"); Britax Child Safety, Inc. ("Britax"); Kids Line, LLC ("Kids Line"); Maclaren USA, Inc. n/k/a American Baby Products, Inc. ("Maclaren"); Medela, Inc. ("Medela"); Peg Perego U.S.A., Inc. ("Peg Perego"); and Regal Lager, Inc. ("Regal Lager"), who was BabyBjörn's distributor. The consumers claimed that Babies "R" Us conspired with each of these manufacturers to implement and enforce policies that would prevent the discounting of certain baby products.</p> <p>Class Members are of two Groups:</p> <p>1) Purchased one or more of the specific baby products (see notice for description) from Babies "R" Us or Toys "R" Us during 1-1-1999 - 1-31-2011;</p> <p>2) Persons and entities that purchased certain baby products (see notice for description) in the United States directly from Babies "R" Us or Toys "R" Us during 10-1-1999 - 1-31-2011 and 2-2-2000 and 4-30-2011.</p> | | |
| 12-27-2013 | 06-CV-1775 | (E.D.N.Y.) | <p>In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services, agreed on rates and yields, and allocated customers.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write, call:</p> <p>Robert N. Kaplan Gregory K. Arenson Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | Class Members are all persons and entities that purchased Airfreight Shipping Services for shipments within, to, or from the United States directly from any of the Defendants or Named Co-conspirators during the period 1-1-2000 to 9-11-2006. | | 850 Third Avenue 14 th Floor New York, NY 10022 212 678-1980 |
| 12-27-2013 | MDL# 1950 08-CV-2516 | (S.D.N.Y.) | In re: Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation This supplemental notice includes documents that were filed on December 20, 2013, and therefore not yet filed as of the Bank's original CAFA notice, dated December 12, 2013 (see Notice dated 12-13-2013). | 6-2-2014 | For more information write or visit: Michael D. Hausfeld Hausfeld LLP 1146 19 th Street, N.W. 5 th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 www.MunicipalDerivativesSettlement.com |
| 12-27-2013 | 04-MD-01631 | (D. Conn.) | In re: Publication Paper Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Stora Enso North America Corporation, a/k/a NewPage Wisconsin System, Inc. ("SENA") and Stora Enso OYJ ("SEO") (collectively, "Defendants") conspired to fix, raise, or maintain the price of certain grades of publication paper in violation of the law. Class Members are all persons and entities (including their assignees) who indirectly purchased publication paper in the United States from any of the Defendants, or any of their controlled subsidiaries or affiliates, for their own use and not for resale, from 10-1-2002 through 9-30-2003. | Not set yet | For more information write or e-mail: MDL 1631 In Re: Publication Paper Antitrust Litigation c/o Jane Stanley P.O. Box 1030 Belmont, NH 03220 publicationpapersettlement@classaction.us . |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| 12-27-2013 | 08-CV-02192 | (W.D. Tenn.) | <p>In re: Regions Morgan Keegan ERISA Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants were fiduciaries of Retirement Plans and violated fiduciary duties under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") by allowing the Plans to invest: (a) in Regions stock and the RMK bond funds when they were allegedly unsuitable and imprudent investments for the Plans, and (b) in the RMK select funds when they allegedly charged excessive administrative fees. Plaintiffs seek to recover losses to the plans allegedly caused by the Defendants' alleged misconduct.</p> <p>The In-House Plans Settlement Subclasses are:</p> <p>(a) In-House Plans Regions Stock Settlement Subclass: all persons, who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Legacy, AMSouth and/or Regions 401(k) Plans at any time between 1-1-2007 and 12-31-2010 and whose Plan accounts were invested in Regions stock at any time during this period;</p> <p>(b) In-House Bond Fund Settlement Subclass: all persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Legacy and/or Regions 401(k) Plans at any time between 5-1-2003 and 5-15-2009 and whose Plan accounts were invested in one or more of the RMK Select funds that were included as investment options in the Plans at any time during this period and;</p> <p>(c) In-House Bond Fund Settlement Subclass: all</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Derek W. Loeser Keller Rohrback L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Legacy and/or Regions 401(k) Plans at any time between 11-9-2006 and 7-29-2008 and whose Plan accounts were invested in one or more of the RMK Bond funds that were included as investment options in the Plans at any time during this period. | | |
| 12-27-2013 | 11-CV-6549 | (S.D.N.Y.) | <p>Sherry Krapf and Julia Morasco, v. Fourth Wall Restaurants, LLC (KPF)</p> <p>The Lawsuit alleges that the Defendants violated New York law by: (1) having servers, runners, bussers, bartenders and/or barbacks share their tips with silver polishers, coffee makers and expeditors; and (2) failing to pay tipped employees "spread of hours" premium pay when their workdays lasted more than ten hours. The Lawsuit also alleges that the Defendants violated the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") by improperly taking a "tip credit" and paying tipped employees less than the required federal minimum wage and overtime rates.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons employed as a server, waiter, busser, runner, backwaiter, bartender and/or barback, at Quality Meats Restaurant from 4-2006 through 11-27-2013.</p> | 4-28-2014 | <p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Claims Administrator Angeion Group Christopher M. Walsh 1801 Market Street Suite 660 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p> <p>215 561-1483</p> <p>cqalsh@angeiongroup.com</p> |
| 12-30-2013 | 12-CV-05054 | (E.D. Pa.) | <p>Aubrey Keller, et al. v. TD Bank, N.A., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that TD Bank violated wage and hour laws by failing to pay non-exempt employees for all time worked performing required store opening and/or store closing security</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Justin L. Swidler, Esq. Richard S. Swartz, Esq. 1878 Marlton Pike East</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>procedures.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former Non-Exempt Employees who performed store opening and closing security procedures at Commerce Bank retail store/branch locations in New York, which became TD Bank retail store/branch locations on or after 3-31-2008, during the period 6-26-2007 through 10-16-2012.</p> | | <p>Suite 10 Cherry Hill, NJ 08003</p> |
| 12-30-2013 | 11-CV-7103 | (E.D. Pa.) | <p>Kadiyala et al. v. Olympus Corporation et al. Plaintiffs allege that Olympus made false and misleading statements during the Class Period about the Company's financial condition. The complaints alleged that in the late 1980's, Olympus began losing money on its product sales and tried to make up the shortfall by trading in speculative securities which lost further revenues. The complaint alleges that instead of disclosing losses from speculative securities, Olympus carried out a fraudulent scheme to mask its trading losses as "goodwill" on its corporate acquisitions - good will which could be written down on its books over time.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Olympus American Depository Receipts ("ADRs") between 11-7-2006 and 11-7-2011, inclusive.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Gilardi & Co. Vianale & Vianale LLP Julie P. Vianale, Esq. 2499 Glades Road Suite 112 Boca Raton, FL 33431</p> |
| 12-30-2013 | 09-CV-07666 | (N.D. Ill.) | <p>In re: Plasma-Derivative Protein Therapies Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Baxter unlawfully agreed</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Cohen Milstein Sellers &</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <p>to restrict output and to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize the prices of IG and albumin sold in the United States including its territories, from 1-1-2005 through 12-31-2009 in violation of the federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of that conduct, purchasers, including distributors and healthcare providers, paid more for IG and albumin than they would have otherwise paid.</p> <p>Class Members include all persons and entities in the United States, including its territories, who purchased IG and/or albumin directly from Baxter or CSL, at any time from 1-1-2005 through 12-31-2009, including but not limited to distributors and healthcare providers.</p> | | <p>Toll PLLC Richard A. Koffman Benjamin D. Brown Christopher J. Cormier Emmy L. Levens 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20005</p> |
| 12-31-2013 | 13-CV-0002 | (W.D. Va.) | <p>Jaclyn Crescente v. StellarOne Corporation, et al. Plaintiff alleges that StellarOne directors breached their fiduciary duties by approving the merger with Union First Market Bankshares Corporation ("Union First"), and that Union First aided and abetted in such breaches of duty. The complaint seeks, among other things, an order enjoining the defendants from finalizing the merger, as well as other equitable relief and/or money damages in the event that the transaction is completed. On 8-26-2013, the complaint was amended to include allegations that the defendants violated federal securities laws by omitting material information from the proxy statement.</p> | Not set yet | <p>For more information write to: June E. Monteverde Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP 369 Lexington Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017</p> |

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

| Notice Date | Case Number | Court | Case Name Summary of Issue | Fairness Hearing Date | Website Link |
|-------------|-------------|-------|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | | Class Members are all record holders and beneficial owners of StellarOne common stock at any time during the period beginning on and including 6-10-2013 through and including the closing of the proposed transaction, including any and all of their respective successors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees. | | |